Moral Fictions: Tamil Folktales in Oral Tradition

India represents a special conundrum for scholars working with folktales. Such early tale collections as the Panchatantra, the Buddhist Jatakas, and the fables of the Jain elders point to the existence of a long-standing and diverse body of tale-telling traditions, giving the folklorist a feel for historical continuity and change that is often absent among traditions of tale-telling in non-literate environments. Yet, at the same time, the literary nature of such collections presents problems of its own. The same is true for Indian folktales collected by European folklorists.

By Nile Green

The relatively widespread presence of Europeans in nineteenth-century India resulted in the publication of a number of collections of folktales from all parts of India, including the famous collections of William Crooke from across northern India, Richard Carnac Temple and Flora Steele from Punjab, and Mary Freer from the Deccan. However, with the increasing sophistication of folklore studies in the second half of the twentieth century, the use of such collections as primary material has become increasingly difficult. Contemporary perceptions often lead us to question whether their colonial context not only the methodological lack of sophistication with which they were sometimes collected.

The publication of Thompson and Bailey’s index of Indian tale-types in the late 1930s represented a watershed in such studies. In its wake, a number of important studies of folk tradition in India have been methodologically circumspect enough to satisfy the specialist and include the important contributions of Indian scholars. However, as in so many other academic fields, a paradox remains between a richness of primary source material and its frequently relegated position in publication. Given the tenporations and rewards of the analysis of folktales, Stuart Blackburn is therefore to be applauded for his efforts in the painstaking and time-consuming work of translating a large number of tales from a single regional tradition.

Moral Fictions brings together translations of one hundred folktales that Blackburn collected in different regions of Tamil Nadu during the mid-1990s. Treading carefully between the paths between the too widespread and the too specific that can easily characterize such enterprises, the collection is significant in the context of Indian folklore studies in providing a broad selection of tales told by no fewer than forty-one tellers that allow an overview of one particular cultural/linguistic folklore tradition at a given point in space and time. As a methodological exercise in the writing of folktales, the volume is also of interest as an attempt to make the construction of the text as close and simple as possible a mirror of the ethnographic experience, while not allowing details of recording to interfere with the tales themselves. The volume is organized by story-telling ‘sessions’, keeping tales together by author, time, and location of their telling. As well as the clarity this allows into the contexts of the tales, as Blackburn himself points out, this approach also reveals something of the ‘narrative logic’ through which different tales relate to one another within a given session.

There is a minimum of authorial comment on the tales outside of the ‘Introduction’ and ‘Afterword’. However, in these two short pieces that frame the collection, Blackburn is careful to draw our attention to the moral dimensions of the tales. He comments that the weight of opinion among Indian folklorists is to view fantasy as the main characteristic of the folktale and the topic of morality as only a peripheral theme. Yet, for Blackburn, the most striking characteristic of the Tamil folklore is its emphasis on crime and punishment. Citing Maria Tatar’s argument that violence and sex represent the ‘hard facts of folklore,’ Blackburn offers what he sees as the unifying characteristic of the folklore tradition he is presenting in the claim that ‘[T]hese stories are moral fictions.’ Thus in one story from Panajakkottai, a female practitioner of ‘country medicine’ steals fingers from corpses for her cures and so becomes involved with a group of thieves who try to bungle her house and kidnap her. In the denouement we find the woman suitably admonished through a short jail term and the thieves who molested her condemned to death. In another tale from Tansavur, a cruel mother-in-law is tricked by a young bride’s faked return from the dead into arranging her own death and funeral. With its mixture of helpless- ness and the catharsis of revenge, the story of the young bride is one with resonance for any patrilocal society based around the extended household.

Such recurring societal references suggest that Blackburn is right to take issue with aesthetic arguments about the intrinsic narrative freedom of the folktale, since such a perspective takes shape only by comparison to (and so very much in the presence of) writing. Like the genesis of folklore studies itself, however useful such aesthetic approaches are, they are very often a product of a literate sensibility and can do much to ignore more societal and even functional dimensions of given folklore traditions.

The tales are translated into a highly readable style and present much that will be enjoyable to specialists and non-specialists alike. The tales present insights into the religious and social structure of their tellers’ communities not less than the particular modalities and formats of folktales.

However, perhaps the most lasting contribution of the volume is its ability to draw the reader into the broader kaleidoscopic universe of the tales themselves and in doing so communicate something of the peculiar narrative magic that sustains successful story-telling traditions, wherever they are found.
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A third issue addressed is the relationship between integrative forces of colonial heritage versus cultural heterogeneity of the region. While most of the nation states in Southeast Asia inherited from colonial rule a public sector that is conducive to national unity based on equal rights for all citizens, few governments are able or willing to carry this principle into the public sphere. Governance in almost all states is characterized by strategies of ‘ethnicization’, discriminating more or less explicitly among their ethnically diverse populations. The Malaysian state, for example, actively enacts ‘forms of resistance against a universality inscribed into the constitution’ (Christensen & C. von Wundt, p.185). By proclaiming to be an ‘Asian democracy’ Malaysia makes revisions to its colonial past and negotiates a position between the East and the West in a global society. In a similar vein, the strength and weakness of the New Democracy in its pursuit of economic development enforced by a patrimonial state and legitimized by discourses of national unity and harmony, glossing over major ethnic and religious cleavages, as Mark T. Berger shows (p.193). The political use of communication technologies and media coverage has been a key to its success, but used by Southeast Asian governments to enforce their discourses and exclude multi-vocality, is not an invention of the contemporary state, Tim Harper points out. It is a legacy of the post-colonial regimes’ project of nation-building.

In the final section, the struggle of Southeast Asian states to come to terms with popular culture is highlighted. While states are eager to develop the notion of Asian ‘unique- ness’ based on an Occidental paradigm, popular culture more easily acknowledges the modern Asian experience ‘between and between’ a colonial past, Western modernity, and Asian values. Whether it is a Singaporean pop artist’s repertoire (Wee), a museum exhibition featuring the Malaysian sugar industry (Marica Pastor Pocos), images of Vietnamese celebrities (Mandy Thomas and Russell Heng), or a lower class protest movement in Thailand (James Ockey), the discursive efforts in these instances are carried out against the state’s powerful directives. Ironically, the energy that the state in Southeast Asia invests in the orchestration and control of discourses reveals a deep-seated ambivalence and vulnerability ‘as a result of very contradictory conditions that contribute to its potency, wealth, and political legitimacy’ (p.21).

House of Glass is an exciting book that will appeal to scholars but also to a wider audience interested in the politics of culture in Southeast Asia under globalization. The appeal is its attempt to widely publicized media events and the exposure of their manifold aspects within a kaleidoscopic perspective. However, overemphasizing parallel universes in terms of the political economy of the region, only a readership well versed in post-colonial history and regional power relations may avoid losing track.