

Xun Zi in the Intellectual Context of Early China

Reply to Perspectives of European Scholars

From November to December in 2001, supported by an ESF travel grant, I visited four Sinological departments in Europe: at München University, Tübingen University, the Catholic University of Leuven, and Vienna University. With each visit, I held a presentation on the socio-political theory of Xun Zi (c. 316-235 BC). Here, I will reflect on the valuable questions and criticisms raised by the scholars of the aforementioned institutes to my representation of Xun Zi's thought.

Report >
China

By Masayuki Sato

Xun Zi synthesized major lines of pre-Qin thought which were categorized as Confucianism, Daoism, Mohism, Legalism, Logical thought, and so on., despite his own firm self-styled orthodox successor of the idea of Confucius. A great scale of amalgamation of various thoughts of his time enabled him to provide the succeeding Han dynasty with an ideal blueprint for the broad ideological basis for the state institution of the Han which has been known as 'li' (rituals and social norms). Han intellectuals considered the concept of rituals and social norms to be the most cardinal element for attaining the Han state institution. This idea is shown in Sima Qian's (ca. 140-?) arrangement that he put the 'Li shu' (Book of Rituals and Social Norms) on the top of the 'Six Books' in his Shiji (The Historical Records).

However, the fact that the extant Book of Xunzi consists of a highly articulated theoretical exposition of the rituals and norms which were supposed to be embodied in the Han state and society can cause a suspicion that the extant Book of Xunzi was not written by Xun Zi, but by the Han intellectuals such as Liu Xiang (ca. 77-6 BC) who was the prominent bibliographer who set the composition of the Book of Xunzi on basically the present form. The discussion below evolves the problems on the textual authenticity of the Book of Xunzi, and Xun Zi's influence on the formation of the Han state institution.

Question: Is it not possible that the extant Book of Xun Zi, should contain the thought of Liu Xiang, and accordingly the 'high level of integration' in his thought reflects the Han thought? (prof. R. Ptak, München University)

Reply: Needless to say, all the Warring States philosophical works are exposed to the doubt of their textual authenticity. Although I am inclined to regard the Book of Xun Zi as substantially his synthesis of preceding ideas and arguments rather than that which can be clearly divided into Xun Zi's own and his disciples'. Yet, it is very important to think about where this high level of integration in his work came from and your assumption is worth being put to our serious consideration. According to my research, we cannot find specific evidence that Liu Xiang inserted his own argument into the

extant text of the Book of Xun Zi. First of all, Xun Zi's work are quoted fairly extensively in a number of the Han treatises such as the Hanshi Waizhuan and the 'Book of (State) Rituals' in the Shiji. In particular, the Hanshi waizhaun was the work of the early-middle Former Han period, this proves that at least those parts had existed before Liu Xiang's period. Furthermore, in his Preface, Liu Xiang clearly states that his compilation work of the text was proceeded just by means of 'removal of the overlapping parts.' As he wrote, when he dealt with the manuscript of Xun Zi's work, there were as many as 322 bundles of Xun Zi's manuscript. Under the condition that so many manuscripts of Xun Zi were preserved by his time, it was totally unnecessary for Liu Xiang to 'add to' or 'revise' Xun Zi's manuscript. Therefore, Liu Xiang's remark that he 'removed overlapping parts' is sufficiently reliable. However, all these my argument do not support the point that the extant Xunzi was Xun Zi's own work.

Question: Can we see any influence of Xun Zi's thought on the contemporary Chinese state institution and political operation? (prof. R. Trappl, Vienna Univ.)

Reply: No one would believe that the Confucian legacy in state institution and political operation in contemporary China (and also in Korea and Japan) was entirely wiped out. Characteristics in East Asian politics, e.g. geriatric rule, nepotism, a strong sense for saving face, and an excessive concern with the total personality of politicians, cannot be understood without Confucian political culture. The problem is that it is extremely difficult to discern one's Confucianism (e.g. Mencius) from another's (e.g. Xun Zi's). What makes the situation more complicated is that Xun Zi has been demoted from orthodox down to heterodox by Neo-Confucianists, and, consequently, modern scholars in post-Neo Confucian period have usually associated Confucian characteristics with the thought of Confucius and Mencius. It is true that major Confucian moral values such as ren (benevolence), yi (righteousness) and even li (rituals and social norms) were not Xun Zi's invention. What I can argue here is that if the form and ideology of the Han dynasty was predominant major source for the dynastic politics onward, the impact of Xun Zi's thought on the following history was also considerably great. In other words,

the institution and ideology of the Han dynasty has been taken into form under the overwhelming influence of Xun Zi's thought. I would like to focus on three points as follows: First, it is widely known that the state rituals of the Han dynasty were 'installed' by the hand of Shusun Tong, a realist Confucian, who survived the sanguinary warfare from the collapse of Qin to the final victory of Han. Therefore, from the beginning, the core of the Han state institution was doomed to be developed under the Confucian framework. Pertinently, the idea behind the installation of the Han state rituals that 'the appropriate state rituals embody appropriate socio-political order' is the echo of Xun Zi's political philosophy. Second, it is of no doubt that the prominent Han intellectuals such as Han Ying, Sima Qian, Dong Zhongshu, and Liu Xiang highly respected Xun Zi. It was them who greatly contributed to the promotion of Confucian value as the state ideology of the Han dynasty. In other words, Xun Zi's thought exhibited an overwhelming persuasive power to the Han intellectuals. And third, it is also broadly known that Xun Zi critically contributed the transmission of the Canonical studies by means of integrating them into the curriculum of his Confucian teaching. It was fairly natural that a system of thought which could successfully systematized the great amount of intellectual heritage of that civilization would ultimately lead the state ideology of that society. <



Dr Masayuki Sato is presently Chiang Ching-kuo Fellow and a lecturer at the Sinological Institute of Leiden University. His work, The Confucian Quest for Order: the Origin and Formation of Xun Zi's Political Thought (Leiden: Brill, 2002), is yet forthcoming. E-mail: M.sato@let.leidenuniv.nl

Note >

Lastly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the financial support by ESF which enabled me to realize this trip and the people, in addition to those mentioned above, particularly Prof. Hans van Ess of München University, Dr Heidi Dumreicher of Institute for Urban Sustainability, and Mrs. Evelyn Ellwart-Mitsanas of Tübingen University, without whose help I could not have completed this trip with such great success!

Sri Lanka in the Twenty-First Century

Legacies and Challenges

Report >
Sri Lanka

Scholars of Sri Lanka Studies from around the world exchanged their ideas and research findings in the congenial atmosphere at the South Asia Studies Centre, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India under the aegis of the eighth International Conference on Sri Lanka Studies. Nine working sessions on various aspects of the island-society, a one-day workshop on India-Sri Lanka relations and ritualistic Inaugural and Valedictory Sessions were held.

By Karori Singh

The scholars discussed the achievements of the island-nation in economic development, social harmony, evolution of political institutions, international relations and related policy issues mainly in the post-colonial period. Mutually reinforcing one another the ideological contradictions and social awareness manifest themselves in the present crisis and problems of society. In this, history has been used to create polarized identities and differential mobilization. It is up to social scientists not to overstress or aggravate

the conflict but instead to promote harmony and convergence. Through information and communication technology, the diaspora started playing a significant role in generating national or sub-national consciousness. This however does not delimit the role of history, linguistic composition, cultural symbols, and other primordial aspects. Long-distance nationalism has developed alongside cohesive sub-nationalism and nationalism on the island itself. Whatever, the consciousness formation, it was no doubt instrumental to achieve sub-nationalist goals rather than integrative ones. The fail-

ure of integration is aptly yet sadly illustrated by fact that the Bhikkuni (buddhist nuns) order has not been restored despite the peaceful movement for its restoration. The restoration of such an order might have made qualitative changes in the Sinhalese Community. The Tamil Nationalist Movement, on the other hand, became separatist in the course of long colonial domination and post-colonial governance.

While constitutional devices and the democratic polity have been manipulated, the liberal ethos of democracy has not evolved on the island. Herein lies

the root cause for most of the problems that the island-nation is facing. A liberal, accommodative approach has been suggested as remedy of most of the evils in the society. Studies of electoral processes reveal a positive correlation between modernization and political participation. However, staled modernization is buttressing primordialism in democratic politics. Though these trends have not crippled democracy, a pressing problem of balancing modernization with traditionalism remains.

The adoption of pro-globalization policies has increased competitiveness, yet allowing globalization to direct the restructuring of production and governing processes is inimical not merely to general employment but to human welfare at large. Thus, the globalization process should be further examined

and subsequently be calibrated to the needs and potentials of the people. On the one hand, various communities and social groups started taking their own initiatives. On the other, extra-national economic forces are leaving their imprints not only on the economy but also on society at large. Further research and innovation in this regard is deemed necessary.

Community Resource Management

Trends indicate that local communities are increasingly being empowered to conserve and manage natural resources. Irrespective of the regional and social disparities the island society is performing very well in regard to social development policy and practice. Some apprehensions were raised in regard to the accuracy of the statistical